

REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF
TPM 21106, ER 07-09-010; Beers Minor Subdivision

April 9, 2009

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES
☐

NO
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
☒

Discussion:

While the proposed project and offsite improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any offsite improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES
☐

NO
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
☒

Discussion:

The proposed project and any offsite improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.

III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES
☐

NO
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
☒

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Valley Center Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located adjacent to any floodway/floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it located adjacent to any watercourse which is plotted on any official County floodway/floodplain map.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is 12 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Valerie Walsh on December 19, 2007. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by County of San Diego archaeologist Diane Shalom on January 31, 2008 and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological sites. A single family residence built in 1953 is currently located on the property. Although the house is 54 years old, it is a standard ranch house common to the area and is not considered a significant resource. In addition, the project does not propose any ground disturbing activities or alterations to the existing historical structure or surrounding areas near the structure. The project will not require substantial grading and thus grading monitoring is not a required condition. However, the project must comply with the San Diego County Grading, Clearing, and Watercourse Ordinance (§87.101-87.804), CEQA §15064.5(d), and §7050.5 of the Health & Safety Code. Section 87.429 of the Grading, Clearance, and Watercourse Ordinance requires the suspension of grading operations when human remains or Native American artifacts are encountered.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES

☒

NO

☐

NOT APPLICABLE

☐

Discussion:

DPW staff has reviewed the Preliminary Drainage Study and Stormwater management Plan (SWMP) prepared by Robert O. Sukup, and the Preliminary Grading Plan prepared by Paxton Surveying & Engineering. Previous comments have been addressed. The document is substantially complete and complies with the San Diego County Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) and Watershed Protection Ordinance (WPO) requirements for a SWMP.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES

☒

NO

☐

NOT APPLICABLE

☐

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate

that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.